1	TISHA R. BLACK, ESQ.	
2	Nevada Bar No. 005876 STEVEN MACK, ESQ.	
3	Nevada Bar No. 004000	
4	BLACK & LOBELLO 10777 W. Twain Ave., 3 rd Fl.	
5	Las Vegas, Nevada 89135 (702) 869-8801	
	(702) 869-2669 (fax)	
6	tblack@blacklobellolaw.com smack@blacklobellolaw.com	
7	Attorneys for Plaintiff	
8	UNITED STATES I	DISTRICT COURT
9	DISTRICT (OF NEVADA
10	DAVID KORONIK, an Individual,	Case No: 2:13-cv-0
11	Plaintiff,	
12	V.	
13	OCWEN LOAN SERVICING, LLC, a foreign	REPLY TO COUN INTERVENOR TH
14	limited liability company; ROBERT PELLEGRINO, an Individual; THERESA	HOUSING FINAN
15	PELLEGRINO, an Individual, DOES 1	CONSERVATOR HOME LOAN MC
16	through 10; and ROE CORPORATIONS 1 though 10, Inclusive,	CORPORATION
17	Defendants.	
	and	
18	FEDERAL HOME LOAN MORTGAGE CORPORATION; and FEDERAL HOUSING	
19	FINANCE AGENCY, as Conservator of the	
20	Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corp.,	
21	Intervenors	
22	FEDERAL HOUSING FINANCE AGENCY,	
23	as Conservator of the Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corp.,	
24	Counterclaimant,	
25	V.	
26	DAVID KORONIK, an individual,	
27	Counter-Defendant	
28		

2:13-cv-02060-APG-GWF

O COUNTERCLAIM BY ENOR THE FEDERAL G FINANCE AGENCY AS VATOR FOR THE FEDERAL OAN MORTGAGE ATION

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

	Count	er-De	efendant	, David	Koro	nik,	, by a	nd	through	his	counse	el of	rec	ord,	Tish	a R
Black,	Esq.,	and	Steven	Mack,	Esq.,	of	Black	&	LoBell	o, a	s and	for	his	Repl	y to	the
Counte	erclaim	on fi	ile hereii	ı, submi	it the f	ollo	wing:									

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

(Declaratory Judgment versus Plaintiff)

- 1. Answering Paragraph 1 of the Counterclaim, the allegations contained therein are ambiguous and therefore, on that basis, Counter-Defendant denies the same.
- 2. Answering Paragraphs 2, 3, 4, 5, and 8 of the Counterclaim, Counter-Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truthfulness of the allegations contained therein and therefore denies the same.
- Answering Paragraphs 6, 7, 9, and 10 of the Counterclaim, Counter-Defendant 3. denies the allegations contained therein.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

(Quiet Title versus Plaintiff)

- 4. Answering Paragraph 1 of the Counterclaim, Counter-Defendant repeats and realleges each and every foregoing Paragraph and incorporates the same by reference as though fully set forth at length herein.
- 5. Answering Paragraphs 2 and 4 of the Counterclaim, Counter-Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truthfulness of the allegations contained therein and therefore denies the same.
- Answering Paragraphs 3 and 5 of the Counterclaim, Counter-Defendant admits 6. the allegations contained therein.
- 7. Answering Paragraphs 6, 7 and 8 of the Counterclaim, Counter-Defendant denies the allegations contained therein.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

- 1. The Counterclaim fails to state a claim against answering Counter-Defendant upon which relief may be granted.
- 2. The Counterclaimant's claims for relief fail for want of consideration.

1

2

3

4

5

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

22

27

28

- 3. At all times relevant to the allegations contained in the Counterclaim, Counter-Defendant acted with due care, circumspection, and good faith in the performance of any and all duties imposed on them, including full performance of obligations owed to Counter-Claimant.
- 4. Counterclaimant's claims are barred by the doctrine of equitable estoppel.
- 5. There is no cognizable claim for relief known as "damages".
- 6. 6 Counterclaimant, by its own acts and conduct, waived its right to assert any claim.
- 7 7. Damages and injuries, if any, suffered by Counterclaimant are not attributable to any act, 8 conduct, or omission on the part of Counter-Defendant.
 - 8. Each and every action contained in the Counterclaim is barred by Counterclaimant's prior breach of the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing.
 - 9. Counterclaimant is barred from obtaining any relief from any claim by operation of the doctrine of unclean hands.
 - 10. As to any contract, obligation, or agreement alleged by Counterclaimant to have been breached by Counter-Defendant, any duty of performance by Counter-Defendant was excused by reason of failure of consideration, the adhesive nature of the purported obligation, breach of condition precedent, impossibility, frustration of purpose, waiver and/or acceptance by Counterclaimant.
 - 11. Counter-Defendant denies each and every allegations of Counterclaimant's Counterclaim not specifically admitted or otherwise pled herein.
- 20 12. Counterclaimant is barred from obtaining relief for any claim by reason of its failure to 21 mitigate its damages, if any.
 - Counterclaimant is barred from relief for any claim by operation of the doctrine of laches. 13.
- 23 14. Counterclaimant's claims are barred by the doctrine of unilateral mistake.
- 24 15. If, and to the extent Counter-Defendant is liable to Counterclaimant, Counter-Defendant 25 is entitled to an offset and/or equitable recoupment for the damages owing by Counterclaimant to 26 Counter-Defendant.
 - Counterclaimant should be barred from recovery in whole or in part, in proportion to the 16. fault attributed to Counterclaimant or its agents.

BLACK & LOBELLO

(702) 869-8801 FAX: (702) 869-2669 10777 W. Twain Avenue, 3rd Floor Las Vegas, Nevada 89135

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Case 2:13-cv-02060-GMN-GWF	Document 29	Filed 10/05/15	Page 4 of 5
Case 2.15 CV 02000 CIVIN CVVI	Document 23	1 1100 10103/13	i age i or a

- 17. The damages claimed by Counterclaimant, if any, were caused whole or by improper acts of third parties over which these replying Counter-Defendant had no control.
- 18. Counterclaimant's claims under federal law were waived due to intentional failure or negligent failure to take actions to protect it rights pursuant to such statute or rule.
- 19. Counterclaimant's ignored written notices properly communicated and, therefore, waive any rights associated therewith.
- 20. Counterclaimant's own actions or failure to act caused its own damages.
- 21. It has been necessary for the Counter-Defendant to employ the services of any attorney to defend this Counterclaim, and reasonable sums should be allowed as and for attorney's fees, together with the costs expended in this action.
- 22. All possible affirmative defenses may not have been alleged herein insofar as sufficient facts were not available after reasonable inquiry upon the filing of Counter-Defendant's Reply, and therefore, this Replying Counter-Defendant reserves the right to amend this Reply to allege additional affirmative defenses if subsequent investigations so warrant.

Dated this 1st day of October, 2015.

BLACK & LOBELLO

/s/ Steven Mack

STEVEN MACK, ESQ. Nevada State Bar No. 004000 10777 W. Twain Ave., Third Floor Las Vegas, Nevada 89135 Attorneys for Plaintiff

Case 2:13-cv-02060-GMN-GWF Document 29 Filed 10/05/15 Page 5 of 5

(702) 869-8801 FAX: (702) 869-2669 10777 W. Twain Avenue, 3rd Floor Las Vegas, Nevada 89135 BLACK & LOBELLO

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Corporation

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Pursuant to F.R.C.P. 5(b) and Electronic Filing Procedure IV(B), I certify that on the 2 nd
day of October, 2015, a true and correct copy of the REPLY TO COUNTERCLAIM BY
INTERVENOR THE FEDERAL HOUSING FINANCE AGENCY AS CONSERVATOR
FOR THE FEDERAL HOME LOAN MORTGAGE CORPORATION, was transmitted
electronically through the Court's e-filing electronic notice system to the attorney(s) associated
with this case. If electronic notice is not indicated through the court's e-filing system. Then a
true and correct paper copy of the foregoing document was delivered via U.S. Mail.

Asim Barma, Esq. Howard N. Cayne, Esq. Michael A.F. Johnson, Esq. Arnold & Porter LLP 555 12th Street NW Washington, DC 20004 Asim.Varma@aporter.com Howard.Cayne@aporter.com Michael.Johnson@aporter.com Attorneys for Intervenor/Counterclaimant Federal Housing Finance Agency

Chelsea A. Crowton, Esq. Wright Finlay Zak 7785 W. Sahara Avenue, Suite 200 Las Vegas, NV 89117 dnitz@wrightlegal.net ccrowton@wrightlegal.net Attorney for Defendant Ocwen Loan Servicing, LLC, LLC; Substituted Party Nationstar Mortgage, LLC; and Intervenor Federal Home Loan Mortgage

Dana Jonathan Nitz, Esq.

<u>/s/ Jerri Hunsaker</u> Employee of Black & LoBello